

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,052	12/19/2001	Mark C. Estes	G&C 130.36-US-01 5934		
22462	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
GATES & COOPER LLP			MENDEZ, MANUEL A		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			3763		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/025,052		ESTES ET AL.) • • (
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Manuel Mer	dez	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing data. - If the period for reply specified above, the fixed period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, within the statutor will apply and will e cause the applica	however, may a reply be ting yminimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tion to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
Status									
1) Responsive to communica	ition(s) filed on								
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .		action is nor	-final.						
•									
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed by Claim(s) 23-58 is/are rejection of the claim(s) are subjected by Claim(s) are subjected by Claim(s) are subjected by Claim(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet(subjected by Claim(s) filed on the claration is contacted by Claim(s) filed on the claim of the claration is contacted by Claim(s) filed on the claim of the	t-22 and 59-82 is/are wed. cted. cted to. ct to restriction and/o ed to by the Examine is/are: a) ☐ accept any objection to the s) including the correct	e withdrawn for election requer. er. epted or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	uirement. objected to by the held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Feaper No(s)/Mail Date	ng Review (PTO-948)	') Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [) Notice of Informal) Other:	Date	⁻ O-152)				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-22 and 58-82 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 23 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dastur, et al. Please refer to column 10, line 32, specifically the section titled "Starting and Stopping Infusion Regimes".

Claims 23 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson, et al. In column 17, line 59, the specification states that a disabling structure is provided to caregiver pump (200) for disabling the pumping mechanism.

Claims 23 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larson, et al. Please refer to column 3, line 53 wherein the specification discloses hold mode (52) and run mode (50).

Claims 23 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell. In column 21, lines 49-45, the specification clearly indicates that blocks 160, 179, and 198, in figures 11, 12, and 13 respectively suspend pump actuation in the executor and basal subroutines when pump inhibition is in effect.

Claims 23 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lebel, et al. Please refer to column 39, lines 56-60. The specification states that a suspend mode is an operational state of the implantable device where insulin delivery is reduced to a medically insignificant level.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,052

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 24-40 and 42-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dastur, et al., Peterson, et al., Larson, et al., Fischell, and/or Level, et al. The examiner argues that the teachings of the cited references provide justification to conclude that the suspend function can be utilized for more than one wave profile. Moreover, such an enhancement is a duplication of a well known programmable function as evidenced by the cited references. Accordingly, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, the modification of an infusion system algorithm to consider more than one profile would have been considered an obvious design choice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,052

Art Unit: 3763

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

MM

Page 5